

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,324	04/26/2001	Jonathan Yen	10007849-1	9353
75	90 06/09/2004	EXAMINER		
	ACKARD COMPANY	JOHNS, ANDREW W		
Intellectual Prop	perty Administration		<u> </u>	···· <u>·</u> ··
P.O. Box 27240		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2621	•
			DATE MAILED: 06/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.  Office Action Summary  Display 1,324  Examiner  Art Unit  Andrew W. Johns  Andrew W. Johns  Andrew W. Johns  Andrew W. Johns  ANDRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estatesion of line may be available under the previous of 2 CR 1 13840, In one evert, however, may a reply be simely listed  If the period for reply secided above, the maximum stratumory period will not a state on the reply secided above, the maximum stratumory period will expire and will expire 50X (B) MONTH(S) FROM  THE MOLINE of the reply secided above, the maximum stratumory period will expire 50X (B) MONTH(S) from the making date of this communication, and the maximum stratumory period will expire 50X (B) MONTH(S) from the making date of this communication, and prevent parent term dejustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)  This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is finAl.  2c) This action is finAl.  2d) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is siare ellowed.  5] Claim(s) 1-315-17 and 20 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-315-17 and 20 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-315-17 and 20 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 1-316-30 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Ryn not require that any objection to the drawing(s) be had in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.35(a).  Replacement drawing shoel(s) including the correction is required the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF		_	Application No.	Anntinontin					
## Examiner ## Andrew W. Johns ## 2821  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheat with the correspondence address  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estantions of time may be available under the provisions at 37 CFR 1.136(a). In an event, however, may a reply be invery fined  If the peacle from systephical doctive, the maintenance and the cover sheat with the correspondence address  If the peacle from systephical doctive, the maintenance and for reply is pacified abover, the maintenance and for reply and with early within the standary minimum of thirty (30) days and be considered timely.  If the peacle for may be peacled abover, the maintenance and for reply and with the peacle of the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication and plant term adjustment. Sea 37 CFR 1.704(b) days, a reply within the standary price and plant term adjustment. Sea 37 CFR 1.704(b) days, a reply within the standary price and plant term adjustment. Sea 37 CFR 1.704(b) days, a reply within the standary price and plant term adjustment. Sea 37 CFR 1.704(b) days, a reply within the standary price and plant term adjustment. Sea 37 CFR 1.704(b) days are replected from the communication of the communic			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Andrew W. Johns  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eatherword intermy by a sevalidate under the spowders of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In so event, however, may a reply bis limity filled  Eatherword intermy by a sevalidate under the spowders of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In so event, however, may a reply bis limity filled  Eatherword to make 1 the period for reply spoofed above is less than they (30) days, a reply within the datafactory minimum of tithy (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply is specified some, the maintain statutory period vall aughts 50% (MAVITS from the mailing date of his communication. Provided by the period for reply specified beauting period vall aughts 50% (MAVITS from the mailing date of his communication, even if timely field, may reduce a single seared patients and apturents. Sea 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on	Office Action Summary		09/844,324	YEN ET AL.					
Preiod for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Exercisors of term may be arrelated under the provision of 37 CFR 1.13e(s), in no event, however, may a neply be timely filled to the provision of 17 cFR 1.13e(s), in no event, however, may a neply be timely filled to the provision of the provision			Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Enterprising of letter rays by aministed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(s), in no event, however, may a reply be simply filed  Enterprising of letter rays by aministed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(s), in no event, however, may a reply be simply filed  Enterprising of letter rays beginded above, the maximum statutory period will apply and we spire SIX (8) MONTHS train the mailing date of this communication. It allows the provision of the replace of the specified by the spire of the specified by the SIX (5) SI	T. 1441 NO DATE (								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extracions of mem ray be waited under the provision of 3 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  It NO provides from the mailing date of this communication of the communica		this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence add	ress				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	THE MAILING DATE OF THI  - Extensions of time may be available un after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing  - If the period for reply specified above is  - If NO period for reply is specified above  - Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later the	S COMMUNICATION. der the provisions of 37 CFR 1. date of this communication. less than thirty (30) days, a rep. the maximum statutory period ed period for reply will, by statut an three months after the mailin	136(a). In no event, however, may a re bly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this com  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ımunication.				
2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-3,15-17 and 20 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 4-14,18 and 19 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 4-14,18 and 19 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 4-14,18 and 19 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 4-14,18 and 19 is/are objected to.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-3.15-17 and 20 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 4-14,18 and 19 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 4-14,18 and 19 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 4-14,18 and 19 is/are objected to.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c  None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to commur	nication(s) filed on	<b></b> ·						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.						
A) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-3.15-17 and 20 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 4-14.18 and 19 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Paffasperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sold Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Note)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sold Interview Summary (PTO-152)	3) Since this application is	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-3,15-17 and 20 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 4-14,18 and 19 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All  b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) International Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance w	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-3.15-17 and 20 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 4-14.18 and 19 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) 4-14.18 and 19 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) □ Notice of Orafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) □ International Disclosures Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) □ Notice of Orafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) □ Notice of Orafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims								
5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-3,15-17 and 20 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 4-14,18 and 19 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pe	nding in the application	٦.						
6  Claim(s) 1-3,15-17 and 20 is/are rejected.  7  Claim(s) 4-14,18 and 19 is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) 4-14,18 and 19 is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10  The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some * c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4a) Of the above claim(								
7)  Claim(s) 4-14,18 and 19 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)									
7)  Claim(s) 4-14,18 and 19 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1.	6) Claim(s) 1-3,15-17 and	<u></u>							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-14,18 and 1</u>	9 is/are objected to.							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are sub	eject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 April 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is obje	cted to by the Examin	er.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Attachment(s)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	12) Acknowledgment is mag	de of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				( . , , . , ,					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · ·		nts have been received.						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>	•		oplication No					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  * Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  * Solution of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		•			Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from	the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detaile	d Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not i	eceived.					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		392)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)					
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr	awing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	)/Mail Date	450)				
		s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08			132)				

Application/Control Number: 09/844,324

Art Unit: 2621

5

10

15

20

25

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by Hel-Or (article from the 2000 Int. Conf. on Image Processing entitled "Copyright Labeling of Printed Images).

Hel-Or teaches a method of detecting information embedded in an image (see section 4, decoding, on pages 703-704), comprising, for each of two or more different halftone modulations (i.e., dither cells C<sub>0</sub> and C<sub>1</sub>; page 703, second column, lines 6-7), applying a respective filter to the image (page 703, second column, final five lines and page 704, first column, first five lines; the filtering includes calculating an average value of a region and applying the respective dither cells to a constant colored region having this average value) to identify an ordered sequence of halftone modulations embedded in the image (page 703, first column, final three lines; the decoding determines the sequence of dither cells (i.e., modulations) used to create the halftone pattern in the image), as stipulated by claim 1. In addition, Hel-Or also teaches that each halftone modulation is generated from a respective dither matrix (i.e., dither cells C<sub>0</sub> and C<sub>1</sub>; see the first paragraph in the first column on page 703), as further required by claim 2; and that each filter corresponds to a matched filter for a respective halftone modulation (i.e., for each modulation the corresponding, or matched, dither cell is applied to the constant colored region having the average value), as additionally set forth in claim 3. Finally, Hel-Or also teaches that

Application/Control Number: 09/844,324

Art Unit: 2621

5

10

15

20

25

Page 3

the ordered sequence of halftone modulations is identifiable without knowledge of an original image corresponding to the image before halftone modulation (page 703, second column, lines 7-9; the original image is unknown unknown to the decoding algorithm), as required by claim 15. Therefore, Hel-Or meets each of the limitations of these claims and anticipates the claimed invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 16-17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hel-Or as applied to claims 1-3 and 15 above, and further in view of Cass et al. (US 6,141,441 A).

Hel-Or teaches applying a respective filter to the image (page 703, second column, final five lines and page 704, first column, first five lines; the filtering includes calculating an average value of a region and applying the respective dither cells to a constant colored region having this average value) to identify an ordered sequence of two or more different halftone modulations (page 703, first column, final three lines; the decoding determines the sequence of dither cells (i.e., modulations) used to create the halftone pattern in the image) embedded in the image for each of the halftone modulations (i.e., dither cells  $C_0$  and  $C_1$ ; page 703, second column, lines 6-7), as required by claim 16, and for each of two or more different halftone modulations (i.e., dither cells  $C_0$  and  $C_1$ ; page 703, second column, lines 6-7), applying a respective filter to the

5

10

15

20

medium 170; column 35, lines 54-55).

image (page 703, second column, final five lines and page 704, first column, first five lines; the filtering includes calculating an average value of a region and applying the respective dither cells to a constant colored region having this average value) to identify an ordered sequence of halftone modulations embedded in the image (page 703, first column, final three lines; the decoding determines the sequence of dither cells (i.e., modulations) used to create the halftone pattern in the image), as stipulated by claim 20. In addition, Hel-Or also teaches that each halftone modulation corresponds to a respective dither matrix (i.e., dither cells C<sub>0</sub> and C<sub>1</sub>; see the first paragraph in the first column on page 703) and each filter corresponds to a matched filter for a respective dither matrix (i.e., for each modulation the corresponding, or matched, dither cell is applied to the constant colored region having the average value), as further defined in claim 17.

However, Hel-Or fails to specifically teach a system including a decoder for performing these operations, as additionally required by claims 16-17, or a computer program residing on a computer-readable medium including instructions that cause a computer to perform these operations, as further stipulated by claim 20.

Chen et al. teaches a system for decoding information embedded into image information using modulation patterns (the decoding is generally described beginning at column 28, line 21, and is diagrammatically shown as 800 in Figure 43; the system is shown in Figure 47 and described at column 35, line 30 through column 36, line 12) which includes a decoder (i.e., the processor decodes the data in accordance with stored instructions; column 35, lines 57-60) for decoding the embedded information. Chen et al. also teaches a computer program (i.e., instructions; column 35, lines 55-57) for instructing the computer to perform the decoding operations, this computer program residing on a computer-readable medium (i.e., data storage

Art Unit: 2621

5

10

15

20

25

Because the use of such programmed processing systems is extremely conventional and provides for an efficient and convenient implementation to a vast array of image processing methods, it would have been readily obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use such a programmed system to implement the method of Hel-Or. Therefore, the invention, as defined in claims 16-17 and 20 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-14 and 18-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The various references cited each teaches the use of halftone modulations to embed information into images, but none teaches the use of a respective filter for each halftone modulation to identify an ordered sequence of modulations in the halftoned image.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Johns whose telephone number is (703) 305-4788. The examiner in normally available Monday through Friday, at least during the hours of 9:00 am to 3:00 pm Eastern Time. The examiner may also be contacted by e-mail using the address: andrew.johns@uspto.gov. (Applicant is reminded of the Office policy regarding e-mail communications. See M.P.E.P. § 502.03)

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Boudreau, can be reached on (703) 305-4706. The fax phone number for this art unit is (703) 872-9306. In order to ensure prompt delivery to the examiner, all unofficial communications should be clearly labeled as "Draft" or "Unofficial."

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

A. Johns
3 June 2004

5

ANDREW W. JOHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER